Approved For Release 2000/00/07 \ TIA DE 3800023R000709020024-3

## SECURITY EQUIPMENT BRANCH FUNCTIONS AND ACTIVITIES

I. FUNCTION 25X1A

25X1A

Provide an intrusion alarm program for foreign installations.

### ACTIVITY

- Test and evaluate alarm equipment to ensure that the Agency has state-of-the-art security alarm systems.
- Develop through ORD and OTS both improved and Agency peculiar equipments.
- C. Install and maintain intrusion alarm equipment worldwide.

#### II. FUNCTION

Develop hardware, specifications and policy regarding safekeeping equipments including safes, locks, vault doors, vaults and secure areas.

### ACTIVITY

- Α. Test and evaluate safekeeping equipment.
- Participate in government sponsored tests of security construction.
- 25X1A Develop special security devices such as detectors.
- Develop techniques for inspecting physical security equipment for evidence 25X1A

# Approved For Release 2000/09/02 : CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020024-3

E. Modify physical security equipment to prevent

### III. FUNCTION

Develop hardware and Agency policy regarding classified material destruction devices.

### ACTIVITY

- A. Test and evaluate commercially available destruction equipment.
- B. Through the manufacturer, modify equipment to Agency needs.
- $\quad \mbox{C. Participate in government sponsored development programs.}$

### IV. FUNCTION

Maintain continuing liaison with security personnel of other government agencies as well as representatives of commercial industry engaged in the production of security equipment.

### ACTIVITY

- A. Act as the Agency's representative to the Interagency Advisory Committee on Security Equipment which is primarily engaged in the development of specifications for physical security equipment.
- B. Periodically visit the manufacturing facilities of the major producers of security equipment to consult on new developments.

IDENTIApproved Foo Release 2000/109/02: OlArRDE83B00823R900790020R94 Rent responsible for testing and evaluating alarm equipment to ensure that the Agency has state-of-the-art security alarm systems.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (c) 1	COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Securit
EVALUATION:	Division/SEB DATE:
What is (are) our reference(s) for	25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) still in Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies)  AGENCY ECEMENTS	force? Yes No
Do you feel the activity is still Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel i Can the activity be done more effi If so, how?	t is still necessary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility fo to another OS component or to an If so, where and why?	r the activity should be transferred other Office? Yes No_V
Can another component do the active More properly? YesNo	ity more efficiently? Less costly? If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything Watergate? Yes No If	questionable in the light of so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scoring significant effect on overall Age If so, how?	ope/size or discontinued without ency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being important than this one? Yes	pursued by OS that you feel is more No If so, what?
•	- The state of the

IDENTIA I DENTIA I DENTIA I DENTIA DE LA COMPONIO DEL COMPONIO DE LA COMPONIO DE LA COMPONIO DEL COMPONIO DE LA COMPONIO DEL COMPONIO DEL COMPONIO DEL COMPONIO DEL COMPONIO DE LA COMPONIO DEL COMPONIO DE LA COMPONIO DEL COM for developing improved and Agency peculiar 25X1A alarm equipment. ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (c) 2 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Security Division/SEB EVALUATION: DATE: What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? (2) dated Nov 1966 25X1A 13) Outel Oct 1971 Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? 25X1A AGENCY ELEMENTS OVERSIGNS) Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes V Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes\_\_\_\_ No\_\_\_\_ If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No V If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No / If so, what?

Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without

Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes\_\_\_\_ No V If so, what?

significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes

If so, how?

IDENTIA proved For Release 12000/09/02 EACH REPRESE 120007000700020024 Bonent responsible for installing and maintaining intrusion alarm equipment worldwide.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (c) 3 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Secu	rit
EVALUATION:  DATE:	ЕВ
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)? 25X1A	
Is (are) we reterence(s) still in force: Yes No	
Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity?	
AGENCY FLEMENTS DUERSEAS	
Is (are) Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?  AGENCY FLEMENTS  Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No If so, how?	1
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? YesNo/	d
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?  More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why?	
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what?	
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No/_ If so, how?	
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is mor important than this one? Yes No If so, what?	~е

IDENTIA ported For Relate 2000/09/02ThGARDF83B00823R000700020024m3 onent responsible for testing and evaluating safekeeping equipment.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (c) 4 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Securit
EVALUATION:  Division/SEB  DATE:
DALL II •
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
25X1A
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes V
Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?  AGENCY ECEMENTS  Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes /
Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No
Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No./
If so, how?
Do you fool that magneneilility for the activity of the
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No V If so, where and why?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?  More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how?
11 30, now:
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No If so, what?

IDENTIA proved Roo Relace 12000/09/02 The ROTE 3B00823B000790020024 Bonent responsible for participating in government sponsored tests of security construction.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (c) 5	OMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Securit
EVALUATION:	Division/SEB DATE:
	is activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in for Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of the property of th	rce? Yes No
ACENCY ISLEMENTS	OVERSEAS 25X1A
Do you feel the activity is still necessary (ies) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is Can the activity be done more efficient If so, how?	essary? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibility for the to another OS component or to another If so, where and why?	ne activity should be transferred or Office? Yes No
Can another component do the activity More properly? Yes No If	more efficiently? Less costly? so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything que Watergate? Yes No V If so,	stionable in the light of what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/ significant effect on overall Agency If so, how?	size or discontinued without security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now being pu important than this one? Yes N	rsued by OS that you feel is more of If so, what?

IDENTIAPPOPPEDE TOOR ELEASE 2009 109/02 CIA-RDP83B00823R000700020024-3
for developing special security devices.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (c) 6 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Sec	uri
EVALUATION: Date:	SEB
25X1A	
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?	
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No V Who is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?	
AGENCY ELEMENTS ( OVERSEAS) 25X	1A
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No If so, how?	o_ <i>V</i>
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No VI If so, where and why?	∍d
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?  More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why?	?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what?	
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how?	
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is mo important than this one? Yes No If so, what?	re

·	05)/46		
Approved For IDENTIFICATION C	Release 2000/09/02: CIA-RI DF ACTIVITY:	DP83B00823R000700020	024-3
1)1			1 -
ACTIVITY CODE:	III, B, (c) <sup>7</sup>	COMPONENT OS / D	TOS/Technical Securi
EVALUATION:		COM ONLIVE: 03/F	Division/SEB DATE:
	25X1A		21111
	r reference(s) for		
Who is (are) the	erence(s) still in beneficiary(ies) o	f this activity?	
AGEN	cy BLISMENTS (	OVIERSEA	25X1A
Do(es) the benef:	activity is still n iciary(ies) feel it be done more effic	is still necessa	No Yes No No Stly? Yes No V
Do you feel that to another OS o If so, where an	responsibility for component or to another to the component or to another the component or the component of the component or the component or the component of the component of the component of the component of the component or the component or the component or the component of the component or	the activity sho ther Office? Yes	uld be transferred
Can another compo More properly?	nent do the activit	ty more efficient	ly? Less costly? onent and why?
Does the activity Watergate? Yes	include anything o	questionable in t , what?	he light of
Can this activity significant eff If so, how?	be reduced in scopect on overall Agen	pe/size or discont acy security? Yes	inued without
Is there any acti	vity not now being	pursued by OS tha	nt you feel is more

ACTIVITY CODE: III,	B, (c) 8	COMPONENT: O	S/PTOS/Techni	cal Securi
			Di	vision/SEB
EVALUATION:	25X1A	•	DATE:	
What is (are) our ref	erence(s) for	this activity?	? Date(s)?	
Is (are) the reference Who is (are) the bene	e(s) still in ficiary(ies)	force? Yes	No	
NOBIO	CY GCEMENT		OVERSEAS	25X1A
Do you feel the activ Do(es) the beneficiar Can the activity be d If so, how?	ity is still y(ies) feel i one more effi	necessary? Yes t is still nece ciently or less	No No Yes costly? Yes	No_No_No_N
Do you feel that response to another OS comported If so, where and why	nent or to and	r the activity other Office?	should be tra YesNo_b	unsferred
Can another component More properly? Yes_	do the activ	ity more effici If so, which c	ently? Less omponent and	costly? why?
Does the activity incl Watergate? Yes	ude anything NoIf s	questionable in o, what?	n the light o	f
Can this activity be r significant effect o If so, how?	educed in sco n overall Age	pe/size or disc ncy security?	continued wit Yes No_	
Is there any activity important than this	not now being one? Yes	pursued by OS No If so	that you fee, what?	l is more

IDENTIFA GATO LOON FOFRENGASEV 2000 109/0	OT hele RPP83B00823B0007P0020024-3 nent responsible for testing and evaluating commercially available classified material destruction equipment.
ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (c)	9 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Security
EVALUATION: 25X1/	Division/SEB <u>DATE</u> :
What is (are) our reference(s)  Is (are) the reference(s) sti	) for this activity? Date(s)?  11 in force? Yes No
Who is (are) the beneficiary (  AGENCY ELEM	ies) of this activity?
Do you feel the activity is s Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) f Can the activity be done more If so, how?	till necessary? Yes No No No No eel it is still necessary? Yes No No efficiently or less costly? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibilito another OS component or If so, where and why?	ty for the activity should be transferred to another Office? Yes No
Can another component do the a More properly? Yes No	If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include any Watergate? Yes No V	thing questionable in the light of If so, what?
·	
Can this activity be reduced is significant effect on overal If so, how?	in scope/size or discontinued without  11 Agency security? Yes No
Is there any activity not now important than this one? Ye	being pursued by OS that you feel is more es No If so, what?

Agency needs. ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (c) 10 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Security Division/SEB EVALUATION: DATE: 25X1A What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes V No Who is (are) the beneficiary (ies) of this activity? 25X1A AGENCY BLEMENTS OUBRSEAS) Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes V Do (es) the beneficiary (ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes If so, how? Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes If so, where and why? Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly? More properly? Yes No  $\sqrt{\phantom{a}}$  If so, which component and why? Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No 🗸 If so, what? Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes If so, how? Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No // If so, what?

IDENTIADANVECK ON Release 2000/09/02 h Clare PP83B00823B000700020034p3 nent responsible

for working with the manufacturer to have commercially produced classified material destruction equipment modified to meet

IDENTIApproved Foo Release 2000/09/02 helase South 1002002002002003 and responsible for participating in government sponsored programs for the development of classified material destruction devices.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (c) 11 COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Technical Securit
Division/SEB
<u>DATE:</u>
25X1A
What is (are) our reference(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) still in force? Yes No No No is (are) the beneficiary(ies) of this activity?
REENCY ELEMENTS OVERSEAS) 25X1A
Do you feel the activity is still necessary? Yes No Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) feel it is still necessary? Yes No Can the activity be done more efficiently or less costly? Yes No V If so, how?
Do you feel that responsibility for the activity should be transferred to another OS component or to another Office? Yes No If so, where and why?
Can another component do the activity more efficiently? Less costly?  More properly? Yes No If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include anything questionable in the light of Watergate? Yes No If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced in scope/size or discontinued without significant effect on overall Agency security? Yes No If so, how?
Is there any activity not now being pursued by OS that you feel is more important than this one? Yes No If so, what?

	to the Interagency Advisory Committee on Security Equipment which is primarily engaged in the development of specifications for physical security equipment.
ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (c)	
EVALUATION:	Division/SEB DATE:
The state of the s	25X1A
What is (are) our reference(	(s) for this activity? Date(s)?
Is (are) the reference(s) st Who is (are) the beneficiary	(ies) of this activity?
AGENCY BUSI	MENTS ( OVERSEAS) 25X1A
Do you feel the activity is Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) Can the activity be done mor If so, how?	still necessary? Yes No No See efficiently or less costly? Yes No
Do you feel that responsibil to another OS component or If so, where and why?	ity for the activity should be transferred to another Office? YesNo
Can another component do the More properly? Yes No	activity more efficiently? Less costly? o_t If so, which component and why?
Does the activity include any Watergate? Yes No	thing questionable in the light of If so, what?
Can this activity be reduced significant effect on overa If so, how?	in scope/size or discontinued without all Agency security? Yes No
s there any activity not now important than this one? .)	w being pursued by OS that you feel is more Yes No If so, what?

IDENTIApproved FooRelease 2000009/02h CIA RDP83B09823R000700920034p3 nent responsible

IDENTIADATE TOO Release 10000009/07he ARD P88B00823R0007D0920024 Pronent responsible for visting manufacturing facilities of the major producers of security equipment to consult on new development.

ACTIVITY CODE: III, B, (c) 13	COMPONENT: OS/PTOS/Techi	
EVALUATION:	DATE:	Division/SEB
	DATE.	
	25X1A	•
What is (are) our reference(s)	for this activity? Date(s)?	
	, , , , , ,	
A		
Is (are) the reference(s) still Who is (are) the beneficiary(ie	or or chas accivacy.	
AGENCY ISLISI	ENTS OVERSEA	S) 25X1A
Do you feel the activity is sti Do(es) the beneficiary(ies) fee Can the activity be done more e If so, how?	l it is still necessary? Yes	No No No
Do you feel that responsibility to another OS component or to If so, where and why?	for the activity should be to another Office? Yes No	rarsferred
Can another component do the ac More properly? Yes No	tivity more efficiently? Les	s costly?
	•	•
Does the activity include anyth Watergate? Yes No	ing questionable in the light If so, what?	of
		•
Can this activity be reduced in significant effect on overall If so, how?	scope/size or discontinued w Agency security? Yes N	rithout
Is there any activity not now be important than this one? Yes	eing pursued by OS that you f	eel is more
	the state of the s	·

RO	OUTING	3 AND	RECOR	D SHEET
SUBJECT: (Optional) 25X1	A			
ROM:			EXTENSION	NO.
				DATE
Policy and Plans G			x5311	10 March 1975
O: (Officer designotion, room number, and wilding)	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Drow a line across column ofter each comment
1. Chief, Security Equipm Branch	ent		B	Under Objective B57104 the
AC/TSD			A7	Office of Security will review and validate all Office of Security functions,
3.				activitiesto ensure effectiveness, economy and efficithe attached documents re-
4.				present two milestones under that objective. Milestone 1, the components identified
5.				their functions and activiti Milestone 2 was establishmen of a format for evaluating
6.	-			theseactivities. It is requested that Security Equip- ment Branch review the attack
7.				ments and:  a) Certify that there are no deletions/corrections/
8.				additions to be made to to previous listing submitted
9.				by your office. b) Review the Identification of Activity statement on
10.				each evaluation sheet to ensure it fully equals to the comparable activity
11.				from your offices listing c) Use the attached format to evaluate each individu
12.				activity.
13.				
14.				
15.				